

Resolvent expansions on hybrid manifolds

Konstantin Pankrashkin [†] Svetlana Roganova [‡]
 Nader Yeganefar [§]

[†] Laboratoire de mathématiques d'Orsay, CNRS UMR 8628
 Université Paris Sud XI, 91405 Orsay Cedex, France
 E-mail Konstantin.Pankrashkin@math.u-psud.fr

[‡] The work done at
 Institut für Mathematik, Humboldt-Universität
 Rudower Chaussee 25, 12489 Berlin, Germany
 Currently at the URSSAF Marseille, France
 E-mail roganova@gmail.com

[§] Laboratoire d'analyse, topologie, probabilités, CNRS UMR 6632
 Centre de Mathématiques et Informatique
 39 rue Frédéric Joliot-Curie
 13453 Marseille Cedex, France
 E-mail Nader.Yeganefar@cmi.univ-mrs.fr

Dedicated to the memory of Vladimir A. Geyler

Abstract

We study Laplace-type operators on hybrid manifolds, i.e. on configurations consisting of closed two-dimensional manifolds and one-dimensional segments. Such an operator can be constructed by using the Laplace-Beltrami operators on each component with some boundary conditions at the points of gluing. The large spectral parameter expansion of the trace of the second power of the resolvent is obtained. Some questions of the inverse spectral theory are addressed.

1 Introduction

The spectral theory on compact Riemannian manifolds has been studied for a long time and takes its roots in physical problems. A great number of important results has been obtained and this subject has a lot of ramifications. The main objects of investigation in spectral theory are Laplace type operators on a compact manifold, constructed from a Riemannian metric. A related subject which is developing very actively is the spectral theory on

manifolds with singularities, see e.g. [4, 7]. Generalizing in another related direction, one can be interested in the spectral theory on metric graphs, or quantum graphs [9], or more general multistructures [1]. In the present paper we are studying some spectral properties of the Laplacian on spaces composed of one- and two-dimensional pieces.

We consider the so-called “hybrid manifolds”, which are unions of smooth manifolds connected by line segments. More specifically, let us describe it from the topological point of view. Consider a set of m compact Riemannian manifolds $M_1, \dots, M_m$ and a set of n segments $L_1, \dots, L_n$. On each manifold M_i we fix some points q_{is} , $s = 1, \dots, \mu_i$, $\mu_i > 0$, $i = 1, \dots, m$. First of all we consider the disjoint union of all initial elements: $M_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup M_m \sqcup L_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup L_n$. Then we construct a one-to-one correspondence between the set of end points of all segments and the set of points q_{is} , $s = 1, \dots, \mu_i$, $i = 1, \dots, m$. The following natural condition on the number of elements must be satisfied

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \mu_i = 2n.$$

Finally, according to this correspondence, we glue each end of each segment to the corresponding point on one of the manifolds, which results in a certain topological space. We assume it to be path connected which immediately implies that $n \geq m - 1$. The topological space obtained by gluing the initial manifolds and segments as described is called a *hybrid manifold*. If the manifolds are zero-dimensional, then we have a quantum graph. However, from now on, we will assume that our manifolds are 2-dimensional. We will also often relabel the gluing points as $q_1, \dots, q_N$, where

$$N = 2n.$$

Our first task will be to define Laplace type operators on a hybrid space. This construction involves the Laplacians on each smooth part as well as boundary conditions at the points of gluing, and will be explained in Section 3. The spectral properties of the operators obtained in this way can be studied using their resolvents or some function of it. In our approach we consider the trace of the squared resolvent. This is a standard procedure in spectral theory. Indeed, if we consider the usual (positive) Laplacian Δ on a Riemannian surface M , then it is known (see e.g. [18]) that $R^2(z) = (\Delta + z^2)^{-2}$ is trace class. If $G^2(x, y; z)$ is the integral kernel of this operator, then we have the following asymptotic expansion as $z \rightarrow \infty$: for all $K \geq 0$,

$$G^2(x, x; z) = \sum_{k=0}^K \frac{a_k(x) \Gamma(k+1)}{4\pi z^{2k+2}} + O(z^{-2(K+2)}), \quad (1.1)$$

where the coefficients a_k are the local heat kernel invariants of M and can be expressed by some universal expressions containing the metric tensor and

its derivatives. Furthermore,

$$\mathrm{Tr} R^2(z) = \sum_{k=0}^K \frac{a_k \Gamma(k+1)}{4\pi z^{2k+2}} + O(z^{-2(K+2)}), \quad (1.2)$$

where the coefficients

$$a_k = \int_M a_k(x) dx$$

are the global heat kernel invariants of M . In particular, the first terms of this expansion reveal important geometric and topological characteristics of the surface:

$$\mathrm{Tr} R^2 \sim \frac{\mathrm{vol} M}{4\pi z^2} + \frac{\chi(M)}{6z^4} + \dots, \quad (1.3)$$

where $\mathrm{vol} M$ and $\chi(M)$ are the volume and the Euler characteristic of M , respectively; this is closely related to the Weyl asymptotics for the eigenvalues, see e.g. [4]. Note that traces of some functions of the Laplacian are also of use in the inverse spectral theory of quantum graphs, see e.g. [3, 11, 14, 17].

Our aim is to obtain similar results for a Laplace type operator on a hybrid manifold. In fact, due to the singular structure of the hybrid space, we won't get an asymptotic expansion in the usual way but in a certain extended form. More precisely, we show (subsection 3.3)

Theorem 1. *Let $R(z)$ denote the resolvent of a Laplace type operator on a hybrid manifold. Then $\mathrm{Tr} R^2(z)$ has an expansion of the following form: for any $k \geq 4$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ one has*

$$\mathrm{Tr} R^2(z) = \frac{\sum_i \mathrm{vol} M_i}{4\pi z^2} + \frac{\sum_j l_j}{4z^3} + \sum_{j=4}^k \frac{c_j(\ln z^2)}{z^k} + O\left(\frac{1}{z^{k+\alpha}}\right),$$

where $\mathrm{vol} M_i$ (resp. l_j) is the volume of the manifold M_i (resp. of the segment L_j) used to construct the hybrid manifold, and the coefficients c_j are rational functions.

Each coefficient c_j is actually a rational function of nonpositive degree. Therefore, each $c_j(\ln z^2)$ has an asymptotic expansion in powers of $1/\ln z^2$ for large z . For a rather large class of boundary conditions (see the beginning of Section 5 for more details), we are able to compute the first terms of the expansion of c_k . This allows us to address some questions in inverse spectral theory : given the expansion of $\mathrm{Tr} R^2(z)$ in Theorem 1, can we recover some topological and metric parameters of the hybrid manifold from the coefficients? Under some generic assumptions we prove the following result (subsection 4.2):

Theorem 2. *Consider the expansion of the trace of the square of the resolvent as in Theorem 1. The knowledge of $\text{Tr} R^2$ determines:*

- *whether this manifold is hybrid or smooth;*
- *the sum of the volumes of all manifolds taking part in the construction;*
- *the sum of the Euler characteristics of all manifolds;*
- *the number of segments used in this hybrid manifold;*
- *the sum of the lengths of these segments;*
- *the Euler characteristic of the hybrid manifold.*

We mention that a rather similar result for quantum graphs was obtained in [13].

Finally, we will show in Theorem 22 that the expansion given in Theorem 1 contains some information about the boundary conditions used to construct our Laplace type operator. We note that there is a certain canonical choice of boundary conditions for quantum graphs resulting from considering shrinking manifolds approaching the quantum graph [10]; no similar results are available for hybrid spaces, hence it is reasonable to take into account a possibly large class of couplings. Our paper is based heavily on the PhD-thesis of the second named author [16] where a class of the so-called disjoint boundary conditions was discussed. Later in [19] another class of boundary conditions was suggested. We are treating here the most general boundary conditions. It comes out that this requires a certain extension of the notion of pseudoasymptotic expansion introduced in [18], but the most essential features of the coefficients and their interpretation are preserved.

Acknowledgments. As already mentioned, the paper is based and extends the PhD-thesis of Svetlana Roganova. She would like to thank her advisor Jochen Brüning for suggesting the problem and for many useful discussions, hints and comments.

2 Definition of the Laplacian on a hybrid manifold

2.1 Basic facts on self-adjoint extensions

We recall here some basic facts on the theory of self-adjoint boundary conditions; for a more detailed presentation see e.g. [5, 6].

Definition 3. *Let S be a closed densely defined symmetric linear operator acting on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. Let Γ_1, Γ_2 be two linear mappings from $\mathcal{D}(S^*)$ into a Hilbert space $\mathcal{G}$. The triple $(\mathcal{G}, \Gamma_1, \Gamma_2)$ is called a boundary value space for S if the following three conditions are satisfied:*

- for all $x, y \in \mathcal{D}(S^*)$ there holds

$$\langle x, S^*y \rangle - \langle S^*x, y \rangle = \langle \Gamma_1 x, \Gamma_2 y \rangle - \langle \Gamma_2 x, \Gamma_1 y \rangle,$$

- for any $u, v \in \mathcal{G}$ there exists $x \in \mathcal{D}(S^*)$ such that $\Gamma_1 x = u$ and $\Gamma_2 x = v$,
- $\ker \Gamma_1 \cap \ker \Gamma_2 = \mathcal{D}(S)$.

The existence of a boundary triple in the above sense is equivalent to the fact that the deficiency indices of S are equal, e.g. that S possesses self-adjoint extensions. Boundary triples deliver a useful machinery for describing all self-adjoint extensions of S .

In what follows in this subsection let $(\mathcal{G}, \Gamma_1, \Gamma_2)$ be a boundary triple for S .

Proposition 4. (1) Let A and B be bounded linear operators in $\mathcal{G}$ satisfying

$$AB^* = BA^* \text{ and } \ker \begin{pmatrix} A & -B \\ B & A \end{pmatrix} = 0,$$

then the restriction $H^{A,B}$ of S^* to the vectors $f \in \mathcal{D}(S^*)$ with $A\Gamma_1 f = B\Gamma_2 f$ is a self-adjoint extension of S . Moreover, each self-adjoint extension of S can be represented in such a form.

(2) There exists a bijection between unitary operators U in $\mathcal{G}$ and self-adjoint extensions H^U of S given by $U \leftrightarrow H^U = H^{A,B}$ with $A = 1 - U$ and $B = i(1 + U)$.

Due to the above proposition the operator H_0 which is the restriction of S^* to $\ker \Gamma_1$ is self-adjoint. For $z \notin \text{spec } H_0$ the restriction of Γ_1 onto $\ker(S^* - z)$ is a bijection; denote the inverse operator by $\gamma(z)$. Clearly, $\gamma(z)$ acts from $\mathcal{G}$ to $\mathcal{H}$, and we will refer to this operator as to γ -field for the boundary triple, and the operator $Q(z) := \Gamma_2 \gamma(z)$ will be called the Weyl function.

Proposition 5 (Krein resolvent formula). For $z \notin \text{spec } H^{A,B} \cup \text{spec } H_0$ there holds

$$(H^{A,B} - z)^{-1} = (H_0 - z)^{-1} - \gamma(z)(BQ(z) - A)^{-1}B\gamma(\bar{z})^*. \quad (2.1)$$

2.2 Example 1: Laplacian on a segment

In the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = L^2[0, l]$, $l > 0$, consider the operator $S = -d^2/dt^2$ with the domain $\mathcal{D}(S) = \{f \in H^2[0, l] : f(0) = f(l) = f'(0) = f'(l)\}$. One can easily show that the adjoint operator S^* is given by the same expression on the domain $\mathcal{D}(S^*) = H^2[0, l]$. By direct calculation one can verify that as a boundary triple one can take

$$\mathcal{G} = \mathbb{C}^2, \quad \Gamma_1 f = \begin{pmatrix} -f'(0) \\ f'(l) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Gamma_2 f = \begin{pmatrix} f(0) \\ f(l) \end{pmatrix}.$$

The distinguished self-adjoint extension H_0 of S , i.e. the restriction of S^* to $\ker \Gamma_1$ is exactly the operator $-d^2/dx^2$ with the Neumann boundary conditions.

To construct the corresponding γ -field $\gamma(z)$ and the Weyl function $Q(z)$ it is useful to use the Green function $G_0(x, y; z)$, i.e. the integral kernel of the resolvent $(H_0 - z)^{-1}$, $z \notin \text{spec } H_0$, which is given by

$$G_0(x, y; z) = \begin{cases} \frac{\cosh(\sqrt{-z}(x-l)) \cosh(\sqrt{-z}y)}{\sqrt{-z} \sinh(\sqrt{-z}l)}, & x \geq y, \\ \frac{\cosh(\sqrt{-z}(y-l)) \cosh(\sqrt{-z}x)}{\sqrt{-z} \sinh(\sqrt{-z}l)}, & x \leq y, \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

where the branch of the square root is fixed by $\Im \sqrt{\lambda} \geq 0$. In terms of G_0 one has

$$\gamma(z) \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 \\ \xi_2 \end{pmatrix} = \xi_1 G_0(\cdot, 0; z) + \xi_2 G_0(\cdot, l; z)$$

and

$$Q(z) = \begin{pmatrix} G_0(0, 0; z) & G_0(l, 0; z) \\ G_0(0, l; z) & G_0(l, l; z) \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-z} \sinh l \sqrt{-z}} \begin{pmatrix} \cosh l \sqrt{-z} & 1 \\ 1 & \cosh l \sqrt{-z} \end{pmatrix}.$$

2.3 Example 2: Laplacian on a manifold

Let M be a two-dimensional closed manifold and $\Delta = \Delta_M$ be the closure of the positive Laplace-Beltrami operator defined initially on $C^\infty(M)$. It is known that $\mathcal{D}(\Delta) \subset C^0(M)$. Fix some (mutually distinct) points $q_1, \dots, q_\mu \in M$ and denote by S the restriction of Δ to the functions vanishing at all these points (which makes sense, as all functions from the domain are continuous). The deficiency indices of S are (μ, μ) .

Let $G(x, y; z)$ be the Green function of Δ , i.e. the integral kernel of the resolvent $(\Delta - z)^{-1}$, then, for $z \notin \text{spec } \Delta$, there holds

$$\mathcal{D}(S^*) = \left\{ f = f_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{\mu} \varphi_j G(\cdot, q_j; z) : f_0 \in \mathcal{D}(\Delta), \quad \Phi = (\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_\mu) \in \mathbb{C}^\mu \right\}.$$

The Green function can be presented as follows:

$$G(x, y; z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \ln \frac{1}{d(x, y)} + F(x, y; z),$$

where the second term F is continuous in $M \times M$. Using the above decompositions one can show that there exist uniquely defined linear functionals

a_j, b_j on $\mathcal{D}(S^*)$, $j = 1, \dots, \mu$, such that

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \ln \frac{1}{d(x, y)} a_j(f) + b_j(f) + o(1) \text{ for } x \rightarrow q_j,$$

and as a boundary triple for S one can take $(\mathbb{C}^\mu, \Gamma_1, \Gamma_2)$ with

$$\Gamma_1 f = (a_j(f))_{j=1, \dots, \mu}, \quad \Gamma_2 f = (b_j(f))_{j=1, \dots, \mu}.$$

Note that the distinguished extension of S corresponding to the boundary condition $\Gamma_1 f = 0$ is just the initial operator Δ . By direct calculation one can show that the γ -field $\gamma(z)$ and the Weyl function $Q(z)$ for the above boundary triple are given by

$$\gamma(z)(\xi_j) = \sum_j \xi_j G(\cdot, q_j; z), \quad Q_{jk}(z) = \begin{cases} G(q_j, q_k; z), & j \neq k, \\ F(q_j, q_j; z), & j = k. \end{cases}$$

More details to the above constructions can be found in [6]. We also mention the paper [12] treating a trace formula for the operators of the above type.

Below we use the following asymptotic expansion, see [2, Formula (38)]: for all $M \geq 1$,

$$F(x, x, z) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left(-\ln z^2 - 2\gamma + \sum_{n=1}^M \frac{\Gamma(n) a_n(x, x)}{z^{2n}} \right) + O(z^{-2(M+1)}). \quad (2.3)$$

Here $a_n(x, x)$ is the n -th local heat kernel coefficient and γ is Euler's constant.

2.4 Hamiltonian on a hybrid manifold

Using the formalism of the previous section, we are going to construct Laplace type operators on hybrid manifolds and study some of their properties.

Consider a hybrid manifold constructed with m compact manifolds $M_1, \dots, M_m$, with marked points $q_{is} \in M_i$, $s = 1, \dots, \mu_i$, and n segments $L_1, \dots, L_n$, as described in the introduction. On each M_i consider also the usual Laplace-Beltrami operator Δ_{M_i} , which is a self-adjoint operator on $L^2(M_i)$, with domain $\mathcal{D}(\Delta_{M_i})$, the second Sobolev space of M_i . Denote by D_i restriction of Δ_{M_i} to the domain

$$\mathcal{D}(D_i) = \{f \in \mathcal{D}(\Delta_{M_i}) : f(q_{is}) = 0, \quad s = 1, \dots, \mu_i\}. \quad (2.4)$$

We parametrize the segments L_j by $\{x_j \in \mathbb{R} : x_j \in [0, l_j]\}$, where $j = 1, \dots, n$ and denote by D_j^s the closure in $L^2(L_j)$ of the operator $-\frac{d^2}{dx_j^2}$ defined on $C_0^\infty(L_j)$.

The operator S defined by

$$S = D_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus D_m \oplus D_1^s \oplus \cdots \oplus D_n^s \quad (2.5)$$

is a symmetric operator in $L^2(M_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus L^2(M_m) \oplus L^2(L_j) \oplus \cdots \oplus L^2(L_n)$ with deficiency indices $(4n, 4n)$.

Definition 6. *A Laplace type operator on a hybrid manifold is a self-adjoint extension of the operator S .*

We use the constructions of the previous section to describe all self-adjoint extensions of S . Namely, for each operator D_j take the boundary triple $(\mathbb{C}^{\mu_j}, \Gamma_{1,M_j}, \Gamma_{2,M_j})$ defined in subsection 2.3 and for each operator D_j^s take the boundary triple $(\mathbb{C}^2, \Gamma_{1,L_j}, \Gamma_{2,L_j})$ as defined in subsection 2.2. Then, obviously, their direct sum $(\mathbb{C}^{2N}, \Gamma_1, \Gamma_2)$, where $\Gamma_j = \Gamma_{j,M} \oplus \Gamma_{j,L}$, and $\Gamma_{j,M} = \Gamma_{j,M_1} \oplus \Gamma_{j,M_m}$, $\Gamma_{j,L} = \Gamma_{j,L_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \Gamma_{j,L_n}$, $j = 1, 2$, is a boundary triple for S . The corresponding γ -field $\gamma(z)$ and the Weyl function are also the direct sums of the corresponding objects of each part.

Below we use the enumeration of the points $\{q_{is}\} =: \{q_j\}$ in such a way that the point q_j is the one attached to the initial point of L_k if $j = 2k - 1$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, or the terminal vertex of L_k if $j = 2k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Now we are going to restrict the class of admissible boundary conditions. It is natural to suppose that the boundary conditions at each point of gluing are local, i.e. involves only the boundary values of the functions at this point. In view of the above enumeration of the points q_j this means that we consider only the boundary conditions of the form $A\Gamma_1 = B\Gamma_2$ where each of the $2N \times 2N$ matrices A and B consists of four diagonal blocks

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} (a_{i,i}) & (a_{i,i+N}) \\ (a_{i+N,i}) & (a_{i+N,i+N}) \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} (b_{i,i}) & (b_{i,i+N}) \\ (b_{i+N,i}) & (b_{i+N,i+N}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is useful to introduce the 2×2 matrices

$$A_j = \begin{pmatrix} a_{i,i} & a_{i,i+N} \\ a_{i+N,i} & a_{i+N,i+N} \end{pmatrix}, \quad B_j = \begin{pmatrix} b_{i,i} & b_{i,i+N} \\ b_{i+N,i} & b_{i+N,i+N} \end{pmatrix},$$

clearly, these matrices should satisfy the same conditions $A_j B_j^* = A B_j^*$ and $\det(A_j A_j^* + B_j B_j^*) \neq 0$ for each j . It is also clear, by proposition 4, that each of the boundary conditions can be rewritten using unitary matrices of the same structure

$$A_j = 1 - \Phi_j, \quad B_j = i(1 + \Phi_j)$$

with

$$\Phi_i = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_{i,i} & \varphi_{i,i+N} \\ \varphi_{i+N,i} & \varphi_{i+N,i+N} \end{pmatrix} \in U(2)$$

and

$$\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} (\varphi_{i,i}) & (\varphi_{i,i+N}) \\ (\varphi_{i+N,i}) & (\varphi_{i+N,i+N}) \end{pmatrix} \in U(2N).$$

This parametrization will be referred to as *canonical*. Note that diagonal matrices Φ_j correspond to the absence of coupling at q_j : the boundary conditions split into one boundary condition on the manifold and another one on the segment attached; we will call such boundary conditions *reducible*. Below we consider non-reducible boundary conditions only, hence assuming that all the matrices Φ_j in the above constructions are non-diagonal.

3 The resolvent expansion

Warning. *From now on, due to technical reasons and in order to be compatible with other works, we will use $-z^2$ as our spectral parameter, and not z . However, we will still use e.g. the notation $R_0(z)$ to denote $(S_0 + z^2)^{-1}$, and not $(S_0 - z)^{-1}$ as in the previous section.* Note for further use that in this notation the Green function on manifolds or segments obeys

$$\int_M G(x, u, z) G(u, y, z) du = -\frac{1}{2z} G'_z(x, y, z)$$

(which is just a consequence of the Hilbert resolvent identity).

3.1 Computation of $\text{Tr } R^2$

Let us start with the computation of $\text{Tr } R^2$ using Theorem 5. Setting

$$T(z) = \gamma(z)[BQ(z) - A]^{-1}B(\gamma(\bar{z}))^*,$$

we obtain

$$\text{Tr } R^2(z) = \text{Tr } R_0^2(z) - \text{Tr}(R_0(z)T(z)) - \text{Tr}(T(z)R_0(z)) + \text{Tr } T^2(z).$$

We recall that the resolvent R_0 is the direct sum of the resolvents of the ordinary Laplacians on the manifolds M_i (denoted by R_{0,M_i}) and the resolvents for the Neumann Laplacians on the segments L_j (denoted by R_{0,L_j}) forming the hybrid manifold. For the manifolds one has

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr } R_{0,M_i}^2(z) &= \int_{M_i} \int_{M_i} G_{M_i}(x, u, z) G_{M_i}(u, x, z) dx du \\ &= - \int_{M_i} \frac{1}{2z} (G_{M_i})'_z(x, x, z) dx, \end{aligned}$$

and for the segments one uses the same formula with the explicit expression (2.2); we will use it later.

The linear operator $T(z)$ can be also rewritten as an integral operator as follows. Denote the entries of the $2N \times 2N$ matrix $[BQ(z) - A]^{-1}B$ by $c_{ij}(z)$. Using $G(x, y, z) = \overline{G(y, x, \bar{z})}$ we get, for any $f \in L^2$:

$$T(z)f(y) = \gamma(z)[BQ(z) - A]^{-1}B(\gamma(\bar{z}))^* f(y) = \sum_{i,j} \int c_{ij}(z) G(y, q_i, z) f(u) G(q_j, u, z) du.$$

The integral here is in fact the sum of the integrals over manifolds and segments. Using this integral representation of the operator A , we calculate the remaining terms of $\text{Tr } R^2$ as follows. First, for any $f \in L^2$:

$$R_0(z)T(z)f(x) = \sum_{i,j} c_{ij}(z) \int \int G(x, y, z) G(y, q_i, z) f(u) G(q_j, u, z) dy du.$$

Hence, the operator $R_0(z)T(z)$ has the integral kernel

$$K(x, t, z) = \sum_{i,j} c_{ij}(z) \int G(x, y, z) G(y, q_i, z) G(q_j, t, z) dy,$$

therefore

$$\text{Tr } R_0(z)T(z) = \sum_{i,j} c_{ij}(z) \int \int G(x, y, z) G(y, q_i, z) G(q_j, x, z) dx dy,$$

or,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr } R_0(z)T(z) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} c_{ij}(z) \int \left(\int G(x, y, z) G(y, q_i, z) dy \right) G(q_j, x, z) dx \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} c_{ij}(z) \int \left(\int G(x, y, z) G(q_j, x, z) dx \right) G(y, q_i, z) dy \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} c_{ij}(z) \left(\int -\frac{1}{2z} G'_z(x, q_i, z) G(q_j, x, z) dx + \right. \\ &\quad \left. \int -\frac{1}{2z} G'_z(q_j, y, z) G(y, q_i, z) dy \right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{4z} \sum_{i,j} c_{ij}(z) \int (G(q_j, x, z) G'_z(x, q_i, z) + G'_z(q_j, x, z) G(x, q_i, z)) dx \\ &= -\frac{1}{4z} \sum_{i,j} c_{ij}(z) \int (G(q_j, x, z) G(x, q_i, z))'_z dx \\ &= \frac{1}{8z} \sum_{i,j} c_{ij}(z) \left(\frac{1}{z} G'_z(q_j, q_i, z) \right)'_z \\ &= -\sum_{i,j} c_{ij}(z) \left(\frac{G'_z(q_j, q_i, z)}{8z^3} - \frac{G''_{zz}(q_j, q_i, z)}{8z^2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Let us now find $\text{Tr } T^2(z)$. We will use the same method and represent the operator $T^2(z)$ as an integral operator:

$$\begin{aligned}
T^2(z)f &= \sum_{i,j} c_{ij}(z) \int G(x, q_i, z) G(q_j, y, z) T(z)f(y) dy \\
&= \sum_{i,j,k,l} c_{ij}(z) c_{kl}(z) \int G(x, q_i, z) G(q_j, y, z) \times \\
&\quad \left(\int G(y, q_k, z) G(q_l, u, z) f(u) du \right) dy \\
&= \sum_{i,j,k,l} c_{ij}(z) c_{kl}(z) \int G(x, q_i, z) G(y, q_k, z) G(q_j, y, z) G(q_l, u, z) f(u) du dy.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we find:

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Tr } T^2(z) &= \sum_{i,j,k,l} c_{ij}(z) c_{kl}(z) \int G(x, q_i, z) G(q_l, x, z) G(y, q_k, z) G(q_j, y, z) dy dx \\
&= \frac{1}{4z^2} \sum_{i,j,k,l} c_{ij}(z) c_{kl}(z) G'_z(q_l, q_i, z) G'_z(q_j, q_k, z).
\end{aligned}$$

Summarizing the results obtained, we state

Theorem 7. *Consider a hybrid manifold H , consisting of the manifolds M_i and the segments L_j , and the Laplace operator corresponding to the boundary conditions $A\Gamma_1 = B\Gamma_2$ on H . Then the following formula for the trace of the square of the resolvent of this operator holds:*

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Tr } R^2(z) &= - \int_H \frac{1}{2z} G'_z(x, x, z) dx \\
&\quad + 2 \sum_{i,j=1}^{2N} c_{ij}(z) \left(\frac{G'_z(q_j, q_i, z)}{8z^3} - \frac{G''_{zz}(q_j, q_i, z)}{8z^2} \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{4z^2} \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^{2N} c_{ij}(z) c_{kl}(z) G'_z(q_l, q_i, z) G'_z(q_j, q_k, z).
\end{aligned}$$

Here $G(x, y, z)$ is the Green function of S_0 on the hybrid manifold and the entries of the matrix $[BQ(z) - A]^{-1}B$ are denoted by $c_{ij}(z)_{1 \leq i,j \leq 2N}$.

3.2 The asymptotic expansion

The result of Theorem 7 is given in terms of the Green functions for the Laplacians on the smooth parts of the hybrid manifold. But using it in

applications is practically impossible for two reasons: first of all, inverting the $2N \times 2N$ matrix $BQ(z) - A$ can be difficult, and secondly, an explicit computation of the Green function is almost never possible. Nevertheless, there are ways to get some simplifications if we restrict attention to large z . As we will see below, the special structure of the matrix $(BQ(z) - A)$ allows us to find its inverse asymptotically as $z \rightarrow \infty$. Likewise, one can use the representation of R_0 as an asymptotic series in powers of z (analog of heat kernel expansion). Thus we may attempt to generalize (1.3) to the case of hybrid manifolds.

For further calculations the following lemma is crucial.

Lemma 8. *Let M be a compact two-dimensional manifold and $G(x, y; z)$ be the integral kernel of $(\Delta + z^2)^{-1}$. For any $x, y \in M$, $x \neq y$, and any $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, there exists $C_k = C_{k,x,y} > 0$ such that for $z \rightarrow +\infty$ one has*

$$\frac{\partial^k G(x, y, z)}{\partial z^k} = O(e^{-C_k z}).$$

Proof. Let $P(x, y; t)$ be the heat kernel of Δ , i.e. the integral kernel for the semigroup $e^{-t\Delta}$. It is known [8, Corollary 6] that there are positive constants a and δ such that

$$0 \leq P(x, y; t) \leq \frac{a}{t} \exp\left(-\frac{d(x, y)^2}{4(1 + \delta)t}\right)$$

Clearly,

$$G(x, y, z) = \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-z^2 t} P(x, y; t) dt,$$

hence

$$\frac{\partial^k G(x, y, z)}{\partial z^k} = \int_0^{+\infty} p_k(z, t) e^{-z^2 t} P(x, y; t) dt,$$

where p_k is a certain polynomial. One can estimate

$$\left| \frac{\partial^k G(x, y, z)}{\partial z^k} \right| \leq a \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{q_k(z, t)}{t} \exp\left(-\frac{d(x, y)^2}{4(1 + \delta)t}\right) e^{-z^2 t} dt,$$

where q_k is another polynomial. Now let us note the identity

$$\int_0^{+\infty} t^{\nu-1} e^{-b/t} e^{-pt} dt = 2(b/p)^{\nu/2} K_\nu(2\sqrt{bp}),$$

where K_ν is the modified Bessel function, see [15, Supplement 4.3], which gives, with some polynomials q_j ,

$$\left| \frac{\partial^k G(x, y, z)}{\partial z^k} \right| \leq 2a \sum_{j=0}^K q_j(z) \left(\frac{d(x, y)^2}{4(1 + \delta)} \right)^{j/2} K_j \left(\frac{z d(x, y)}{\sqrt{1 + \delta}} \right).$$

Now it is sufficient to recall the asymptotic behavior $K_\nu(s) = O(e^{-s})$ for $s \rightarrow +\infty$. $\square$

Thus, if the points x and y do not coincide, the Green function $G(x, y, z)$, as well as its derivatives $G'_z(x, y, z)$ and $G''_{zz}(x, y, z)$ decay exponentially as z tends to infinity.

In the statement of Theorem 7 the terms $G(q_i, q_j, z)$ appear, where the distance between the points q_i and q_j is fixed by the configuration of our hybrid space. Hence all terms of such type for non-coinciding points $q_i \neq q_j$ are exponentially small as $z \rightarrow \infty$, so we need to take into account only the diagonal terms $G(q_i, q_i, z)$. Using this observation and performing calculations similar to the proof of Theorem 7, but neglecting terms of exponential small order of z we prove that for some positive constant c , as $z \rightarrow \infty$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr } R^2(z) = & - \int_H \frac{1}{2z} G'_z(x, x, z) dx \\ & + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{2N} c_{ii}(z) \left(\frac{G'_z(q_i, q_i, z)}{8z^3} - \frac{G''_{zz}(q_i, q_i, z)}{8z^2} \right) \\ & + \frac{1}{4z^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{2N} c_{ij}(z) c_{ji}(z) G'_z(q_i, q_i, z) G'_z(q_j, q_j, z) + O(e^{-cz}). \end{aligned}$$

This result can be rewritten in a compact matrix-form, as we are going to see now. Let us denote by G'_z the diagonal matrix with entries $G'_z(q_i, q_i, z)$. Denote also by G''_{zz} the diagonal matrix with entries $G''_{zz}(q_i, q_i, z)$. This is a slight abuse of notation, since G'_z and G''_{zz} are also well defined operators; it will be clear from the context what is meant by this notation. Then it is easy to see that (9) can be formulated as follows.

Theorem 9. *We have the following asymptotic relation as $z \rightarrow \infty$:*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr } R^2(z) = & - \int_H \frac{G'_z(x, x, z)}{2z} dx - \frac{1}{4z^2} \text{Tr} (G''_{zz} [BQ - A]^{-1} B) \\ & + \frac{1}{4z^3} \text{Tr} (G'_z [BQ - A]^{-1} B) + \frac{1}{4z^2} \text{Tr} (G'_z [BQ - A]^{-1} B)^2 + O(e^{-cz}). \end{aligned}$$

Now we need only to compute $(BQ(z) - A)^{-1} B$, and fortunately, this is possible in this approximation.

As we have already mentioned, the matrix $Q(z)$ has a direct product representation, $Q(z) = Q_1 \oplus \dots \oplus Q_m \oplus G$ where Q_i is the Q -matrix for the i -th manifold M_i in the hybrid manifold (see subsection 2.3), for example,

$$Q_1 = \begin{pmatrix} F(q_1, q_1, z) & \dots & G(q_1, q_{\mu_1}, z) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ G(q_{\mu_1}, q_1, z) & \dots & F(q_{\mu_1}, q_{\mu_1}, z) \end{pmatrix}$$

and $G = (G_{kl})$ is an $N \times N$ -matrix consisting of the Green functions (denoted by G_s) for the Neumann Laplacian on the segments:

$$G_{kl} = \begin{cases} G_s(q_k, q_l, z) & \text{if } q_k \text{ and } q_l \text{ belong to the same segment,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Nevertheless, the matrix $BQ(z) - A$ is still too complicated to find its inverse explicitly. But letting $z \rightarrow \infty$ and applying Lemma 8 the situation improves radically. More precisely, all non-diagonal terms of $Q(z)$ are either zero or of type $G(q_i, q_j, z)$, $i \neq j$, and decay exponentially as z tends to infinity. Therefore, we can write $Q(z) = Q_d(z) + \tilde{Q}(z)$, where $\tilde{Q}(z)$ is exponentially small as z goes to infinity, and the leading order approximation $Q_d(z)$ to $Q(z)$ is given by

$$Q_d(z) = \text{diag} \left(F(q_1, q_1, z), \dots, F(q_N, q_N, z), G_s(q_1, q_1, z), \dots, G_s(q_N, q_N, z) \right).$$

Then $(B(Q(z) - A)^{-1}B$ to first order, i.e. $(BQ_d(z) - A)^{-1}$, consists of four diagonal $N \times N$ blocks. The inverse matrix can be found using the Frobenius formula for a block matrix consisting of matrices A, B, C, D :

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} (A - BD^{-1}C)^{-1} & A^{-1}B(CA^{-1}B - D)^{-1} \\ (CA^{-1}B - D)^{-1}CA^{-1} & (D - CA^{-1}B)^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence one can obtain the block representation

$$[BQ_d(z) - A]^{-1}B = \begin{pmatrix} X^{-1}U & X^{-1}W \\ X^{-1}\overline{W} & X^{-1}V \end{pmatrix},$$

where U, W, V, X are diagonal $N \times N$ matrices with

$$\begin{aligned} X_{i,i} \equiv X_i &= (b_{i,i}b_{i+N,i+N} - b_{i,i+N}b_{i+N,i})F_iG_i \\ &+ (a_{i+N,i}b_{i,i+N} - a_{i,i}b_{i+N,i+N})G_i + (a_{i,i+N}b_{i+N,i} - a_{i+N,i+N}b_{i,i})F_i \\ &+ (a_{i,i}a_{i+N,i+N} - a_{i,i+N}a_{i+N,i}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} U_{i,i} \equiv U_i &= (b_{i,i}b_{i+N,i+N} - b_{i,i+N}b_{i+N,i})G_i + (a_{i,i+N}b_{i+N,i} - a_{i+N,i+N}b_{i,i}), \\ W_{i,i} \equiv W_i &= a_{i,i+N}b_{i+N,i+N} - a_{i+N,i+N}b_{i,i+N}, \\ V_{i,i} \equiv V_i &= (b_{i,i}b_{i+N,i+N} - b_{i,i+N}b_{i+N,i})F_i + (a_{i+N,i}b_{i,i+N} - a_{i,i}b_{i+N,i+N}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Note that if we change the parameters $A \rightarrow LA$, $B \rightarrow LB$, with a non-degenerate matrix L of the same structure as A or B , then all of the above expressions acquire the factor $\det L_j$.

It is important to mention that the matrix X_i is invertible for large z . To see this, let us note first that all four summands in (3.1) have different asymptotics for large z : by (2.3) one has $F_i \sim \ln z^2$, and by direct calculation,

$$G_i(z) = 1/z + O(e^{-cz}),$$

hence it is sufficient to show that at least one of them is non-zero. To show this, it is useful to assume that the matrices A and B are taken in

the canonical form, see subsection 2.4. In this case, assuming that all four summands in (3.1) vanish one arrives for each i to the incompatible system

$$1 + \varphi_{i,i} + \varphi_{i+N,i+N} + \det \Phi_i = 0, \quad 1 - \varphi_{i,i} - \varphi_{i+N,i+N} + \det \Phi_i = 0, \quad (3.3)$$

$$1 - \varphi_{i,i} + \varphi_{i+N,i+N} - \det \Phi_i = 0, \quad 1 + \varphi_{i,i} - \varphi_{i+N,i+N} - \det \Phi_i = 0. \quad (3.4)$$

Lemma 10. *With the notation above, we have*

$$[BQ(z) - A]^{-1} = [BQ_d(z) - A]^{-1} + O(e^{-cz}),$$

for some positive constant c .

Proof. First, we write

$$\begin{aligned} [BQ(z) - A]^{-1} &= [B(Q_d(z) + \tilde{Q}(z)) - A]^{-1} \\ &= [BQ_d(z) - A]^{-1} [1 + B\tilde{Q}(z)[BQ_d(z) - A]^{-1}]^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

As can be easily seen from the expressions for U_{ii} , W_{ii} and V_{ii} , one has $[BQ_d(z) - A]^{-1}B = O(z^2)$. As $\tilde{Q}(z)$ decays exponentially fast for large z , the product $[BQ_d(z) - A]^{-1}\tilde{Q}(z)$ will then also be exponentially small. By using the Neumann series to compute $[1 + [BQ_d(z) - A]^{-1}\tilde{Q}(z)]^{-1}$, we see that this term is of the form $1 + O(e^{-cz})$, and this immediately implies the conclusion of the Lemma. □

Lemma 10 allows us to reformulate theorem 9 as follows:

Theorem 11. *For $z \rightarrow +\infty$ there holds, up to $O(e^{-cz})$ terms,*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr } R^2(z) &= - \int_H \frac{G'_z(x, x, z)}{2z} dx - \frac{1}{4z^2} \sum_i \frac{F''_i U_i + G''_i V_i}{X_i} \\ &+ \frac{1}{4z^3} \sum_i \frac{F'_i U_i + G'_i V_i}{X_i} + \frac{1}{4z^2} \sum_i \frac{(F'_i U_i)^2 + 2F'_i G'_i |W_i|^2 + (G'_i V_i)^2}{X_i^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

This theorem describes the large spectral parameter asymptotic of the trace of the second power of the resolvent for a Laplace operator through the Green functions of the manifolds and segments forming the hybrid manifold. In the next section, we will write this expansion in terms of heat kernel coefficients for the manifolds forming the hybrid manifold.

3.3 Pseudoasymptotic resolvent expansion in terms of heat kernel coefficients

To compute the above expression for the trace in terms of heat kernel coefficients, we need to consider the term

$$\int_H \frac{G'_z(x, x, z)}{2z} dx.$$

In fact, this term consists of two types of integrals: over the manifolds and over the segments. As we already know (see equation (1.2)), for manifolds the following expansion holds as $z \rightarrow \infty$: for all $q \geq 0$

$$-\int_M \frac{G'_z(x, x, z)}{2z} dx = \text{Tr } R_0^2(z) = \sum_{k=0}^q \frac{a_k \Gamma(k+1)}{4\pi z^{2k+2}} + O(z^{-2(q+2)}),$$

where R_0 is the resolvent of the Laplace operator on this manifold and the constants a_k are the heat kernel coefficients for it. The integral over segments can be easily computed asymptotically using the explicit expressions (2.2):

$$-\int_0^l \frac{G'_z(x, x, z)}{2z} dx = \frac{l}{4z^3} + \frac{1}{2z^4} + O(e^{-cz}), \quad z \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Definition 12. Assume that there exist rational functions c_k , $k = 1, 2, \dots$, such that for any $q \geq 0$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ a function $f = f(z)$ admits the following representation for $z \rightarrow +\infty$:

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^q \frac{c_k(\ln z^2)}{z^k} + O\left(\frac{1}{z^{q+\alpha}}\right). \quad (3.6)$$

An expansion of the form (3.6) will be called pseudoasymptotic, and in this case we write

$$f(z) \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{c_k(\ln z^2)}{z^k}.$$

Lemma 13. If a function f admits a pseudoasymptotic expansion, then this expansion is unique.

Proof. First, we notice that if P and Q are two polynomials and $\alpha > 0$, then, for large z ,

$$z^{-\alpha} = o\left(\frac{P(\ln z^2)}{Q(\ln z^2)}\right).$$

Suppose that there are two pseudoasymptotic expansions of f , i.e. for any $q \geq 0$ and any $\alpha > 0$

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^q \frac{c_k(\ln z^2)}{z^k} + O\left(\frac{1}{z^{q+\alpha}}\right) = \sum_{k=0}^q \frac{d_k(\ln z^2)}{z^k} + O\left(\frac{1}{z^{q+\alpha}}\right). \quad (3.7)$$

For $q = 0$ we obtain

$$c_0(\ln z^2) - d_0(\ln z^2) = O(z^{-\alpha})$$

which implies $c_0 = d_0$. By an immediate induction on k , we show similarly that we will always obtain $c_k(\ln z^2) - d_k(\ln z^2) = O(z^{-\alpha})$ and consequently the uniqueness of the expansion. $\square$

Remark 14. Let us notice that if a function f possesses a pseudoasymptotic expansion, and if each coefficient c_k is a rational function with nonpositive degree, then each c_k can be uniquely expanded as a $\ln z^2$ -asymptotic series: for all $K \geq 0$,

$$c_k(\ln z^2) = \sum_{l=0}^K \frac{c_{kl}}{(\ln z^2)^l} + O\left(\frac{1}{(\ln z^2)^{K+1}}\right),$$

for some constants c_{kl} .

Theorem 15. *The trace $\text{Tr } R(z)^2$ obtained in Theorem 11 admits a pseudoasymptotic expansion of the form*

$$\text{Tr } R^2(z) = \frac{\sum_i \text{vol}(M_i)}{4\pi z^2} + \frac{\sum_j l_j}{4z^3} + \sum_{k \geq 4} \frac{c_k(\ln z^2)}{z^k},$$

$$\text{where } c_4(\lambda) = \frac{\sum_j \chi(M_j)}{6} + \frac{N}{4} + \frac{N_0}{\lambda} + o\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right), \quad \lambda \rightarrow +\infty \text{ and}$$

$$N_0 = \#\{j \in \{1, \dots, N\} : a_{i,i+N}b_{i+N,i} - a_{i+N,i+N}b_{i,i} \neq 0\}.$$

Moreover, if $N_0 = N$, then $c_k = O(1)$ as $z \rightarrow +\infty$ for all k .

Proof. Consider first more carefully the expression (3.1) for X_i . Let us show first that at least one of the last two terms on the right-hand side, $\alpha_j F_i$ with $\alpha_j = a_{i,i+N}b_{i+N,i} - a_{i+N,i+N}b_{i,i}$ and $\beta_j := a_{i,i}a_{i+N,i+N} - a_{i,i+N}a_{i+N,i} \equiv \det A_j$ is non-vanishing. Taking the matrices A_j and B_j in the canonical form $A_j = 1 - U_j$, $B_j = i(1 + U_j)$, and assuming that the two above terms vanish one arrives at the system

$$1 + \varphi_{i,i} - \varphi_{i+N,i+N} - \det \Phi_j = 0, \quad 1 - \varphi_{i,i} - \varphi_{i+N,i+N} + \det \Phi_j = 0,$$

and one immediately obtains $\varphi_{i+N,i+N} = 1$, which means that the matrix Φ_j is diagonal. As this case is excluded by assumption, we have the result. Hence one has always $1/X_i = O(1)$ for large z .

Consider the second sum on the right-hand side of (3.5); the other terms are considered in the same way. Each summand is of the form

$$E_j := \frac{F_i'' U_i + G_i'' V_i}{X_i}$$

Assume first that $a_{i,i+N}b_{i+N,i} - a_{i+N,i+N}b_{i,i} \neq 0$. In this case, substituting the expansion (2.3) for F_i into the expression in Theorem 11 one arrives

at an expansion of the form

$$\begin{aligned}
E_j &= \frac{\frac{\ln z^2}{z^5} + \sum_{k=0}^K \frac{d'_k}{z^k} + O(\frac{1}{z^{K+1}})}{d''_0 + \ln z^2 + \frac{\ln z^2}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^K \frac{d''_k}{z^k} + O(\frac{1}{z^{K+1}})} \\
&= \frac{\frac{\ln z^2}{z^5} + \sum_{k=0}^K \frac{d'_k}{z^k} + O(\frac{1}{z^{K+1}})}{\ln(z^2 e^{d''_0}) \left(1 + \frac{\ln z^2}{z \ln(z^2 e^{d''_0})} + \sum_{k=1}^K \frac{d''_k}{z^k \ln(z^2 e^{d''_0})} + O(\frac{1}{z^{K+1}}) \right)}.
\end{aligned}$$

For large z the last term in the denominator can be expanded as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(1 + \frac{\ln z^2}{z \ln(z^2 e^{d''_0})} + \sum_{k=1}^K \frac{d''_k}{z^k \ln(z^2 e^{d''_0})} + O(\frac{1}{z^{K+1}}) \right)^{-1} \\
&= 1 + \sum_{l=1}^L \frac{g_l \ln^{s_1}(z^2)}{\ln^{s_2}(z^2 e^{d''_0}) z^l} + O\left(\frac{1}{z^{L+1}}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

for some constant g_l and non-negative powers s_1, s_2 . One can see also that $s_1 \leq s_2$. Multiplying both series in powers of z in the expression for the first fraction we obtain an expansion of type

$$\sum_{l=0}^L \frac{g'_l \ln^{t_1}(z^2)}{\ln^{t_2}(z^2 e^{d''_0}) z^l} + O\left(\frac{1}{z^{L+1}}\right) = \sum_{l=0}^L \frac{c_l (\ln z^2)}{z^l} + O\left(\frac{1}{z^{L+1}}\right),$$

with coefficients

$$c_l (\ln z^2) = \frac{P_l (\ln z^2)}{Q_l (\ln z^2)}$$

which are rational in $\ln z^2$. Note that in this first case we always have $\deg P_l \leq \deg Q_l$.

The case $a_{i,i+N} b_{i+N,i} - a_{i+N,i+N} b_{i,i} = 0$ can be treated in a similar way, one deals with an expansion of the form

$$E_j \sim \frac{\frac{\ln z^2}{z^5} + \sum_{k=0}^K \frac{d'_k}{z^k} + O(\frac{1}{z^{K+1}})}{1 + \frac{\ln z^2}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^K \frac{d''_k}{z^k} + O(\frac{1}{z^{K+1}})}$$

and develops the denominator directly using the geometric series.

Furthermore, examining the asymptotic behavior of all the functions, one can easily conclude that the last three sums on the right-hand sum of (3.5) are of order $O(z^{-4})$, and the only terms that contribute to the coefficient c_4 come from the summands

$$K_j := -\frac{F''_i U_i}{4z^2 X_i} + \frac{F'_i U_i}{4z^3 X_i}.$$

Note that if $a_{i,i+N}b_{i+N,i} - a_{i+N,i+N}b_{i,i} = 0$, then $U_i = O(z^{-1})$ and K_j does not contribute to c_4 . Otherwise, by considering the leading terms in the numerators and the denominators,

$$K_j = \frac{1 + o(1)}{\ln z^2}.$$

Summing over all j we obtain the result. □

Remark 16. It is useful to emphasize that all the “coefficients” c_k are non-constant, i.e., we have always some logarithmic terms. Indeed, it is clear that the logarithmic terms can only disappear if the coefficients of the terms containing F_i in the expressions (3.1) and (3.2) vanish.

Taking the matrices A and B in the canonical form (subsection 2.4), this condition would mean that

$$1 + \varphi_{i,i} + \varphi_{i+N,i+N} + \det \Phi_j = 0, \quad 1 + \varphi_{i,i} - \varphi_{i+N,i+N} - \det \Phi_j = 0.$$

This implies directly $\varphi_{i,i} = -1$, and the matrix Φ_j must be hence diagonal, which contradicts to the non-reducibility of the boundary conditions.

Remark 17. The expansion obtained allows one to address some questions of the inverse spectral theory. It is clear that the knowledge of the coefficients in this expansion gives the summary volume of the manifolds and the summary length of the one-dimensional parts. Considering the fourth term one can obtain some estimates about the Euler characteristics of the manifolds and the number of the segments. Note that for generic boundary conditions one has $N_0 = N$ hence generically one can recover the sum of the Euler characteristics of the manifolds and the number of the segments. We will address such questions in greater details in the next section.

Let us first note that the formula for $\text{Tr } R^2$ obtained in Theorem 15 depends on the heat kernel coefficients for the smooth parts of the hybrid manifold, and it is well known that heat kernel coefficients are recursive. Some recursivity of the coefficients in the expansion of $\text{Tr } R^2$ follows as well. But as a series inversion is required, we cannot obtain this recursive formula in an explicit way. Nevertheless, it is possible to find the formula for some terms in this expansion for some special class of boundary conditions.

4 Special case of boundary conditions

As can be seen from the proof of theorem 15, the boundary conditions enter the pseudoasymptotic expansion in a rather involved way. In this section we treat in greater details the case when the matrix B is invertible. In this case one can normalize by $B = 1$, and A must be then self-adjoint with the

same block structure as previously. To distinguish from the previous case on the notation level, we denote the matrix elements of A by λ ,

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} (\lambda_{i,i}) & (\lambda_{i,i+N}) \\ (\lambda_{i,i+N}) & (\lambda_{i+N,i+N}) \end{pmatrix},$$

and the diagonal entries are real. We assume additionally that the entries $\lambda_{i+N,i+N}$ are non-zero for all $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$.

Note that the expressions (3.1) and (3.2) simplify,

$$\begin{aligned} X_i &= (F_i - \lambda_{i,i})(G_i - \lambda_{i+N,i+N}) - |\lambda_{i,i+N}|^2, \\ U_i &= G_i - \lambda_{i+N,i+N}, \quad W_i = \lambda_{i,i+N}, \quad V_i = F_i - \lambda_{i,i}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 15 is, of course, applicable, and one has additionally $N_0 = N$. As noted in the proof of Theorem 15 we also have $c(\lambda) = O(1)$ for large λ .

To simplify notation in this section, we will use the following convention. If the function $f = f(z, \ln z^2)$ has a z -pseudoasymptotic expansion with coefficients $c_q(\ln z^2)$, we noticed before the statement of Theorem 15 that each $c_q(\ln z^2)$ can be expanded as

$$c_q(\ln z^2) = \sum_{k=0}^K \frac{c_{qk}}{(\ln z^2)^k} + O\left(\frac{1}{(\ln z^2)^{K+1}}\right),$$

for some constants c_{qk} . We will then write

$$f \sim \sum_{q,k=0}^{\infty} \frac{c_{qk}}{z^q (\ln z^2)^k}.$$

4.1 First terms in the resolvent expansion.

Lemma 18. *Let $n \geq 4$. The coefficient c_n appearing in Theorem 15 has an expansion in $1/\log z^2$, and the first term in this expansion is of the following form:*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\sum_i \chi(M_i)}{6} + \frac{N}{4}, \quad n = 4; \\ & \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{2k-1}{4\lambda_{i+N,i+N}^{2k+1}}, \quad n = 2k+1, \quad k > 1; \\ & \sum_i \frac{a_{ki}\Gamma(k+1)}{4\pi} + \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{2k}{4\lambda_{i+N,i+N}^{2k-2}}, \quad n = 2k+2, \quad k > 1. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The terms in c_4 and the first term in c_n for even n arise from the expansions of the second power of the resolvents for all manifolds and segments. The other terms require some calculation. Let us look once more at

the expression in Theorem 11 and analyze it more carefully. As we can see the expansion of

$$\frac{1}{(F_i - \lambda_1)(G_i - \lambda_2) - |\lambda_3|^2}$$

always has $\ln z^2$ in the denominator, i.e. for some non-zero constants k''_{nm} one has the z -pseudoasymptotic expansion

$$\frac{1}{D_i} \sim \frac{4\pi}{\lambda_{i+N, i+N} \ln z^2} + \sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} \frac{k''_{nm}}{z^n (\ln z^2)^{m+1}},$$

where we denote $(F_i - \lambda_{i,i})(\frac{1}{z} - \lambda_{i+N, i+N}) - |\lambda_{i, i+N}|^2$ by D_i . In the corresponding numerators we have terms of type F''_i , F'_i and F_i . Their expansions as $z \rightarrow \infty$ are: for all $p \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} F_i(x, x, z) &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \left(-2\gamma - \ln z^2 + \sum_{n=1}^p \frac{\Gamma(n) a_{ni}(x, x)}{z^{2n}} \right) + O(z^{-2p-2}), \\ F'_i(x, x, z) &= \frac{-1}{2\pi z} - \sum_{n=1}^p \frac{2n\Gamma(n) a_{ni}(x, x)}{4\pi z^{2n+1}} + O(z^{-2p-3}), \\ F''_i(x, x, z) &= \frac{1}{2\pi z^2} + \sum_{n=1}^p \frac{2n(2n+1)\Gamma(n) a_{ni}(x, x)}{4\pi z^{2n+2}} + O(z^{-2p-4}). \end{aligned}$$

It is clear now that the first terms in the expansion of c_n (i.e. terms without $\ln z^2$) can appear only in terms containing F_i . All other terms will contain this logarithm in denominator. Moreover, only the part $\frac{-\ln z^2}{4\pi}$ in F_i matters.

The same arguments are valid for the last summand whose denominator contains $(\ln z^2)^2$, and consequently we should take only the term $(F_i - \lambda_{i,i})^2$ into account. Finally, we try to find the terms containing only powers of z in

$$\frac{-2F_i - F_i}{4z^5 D_i} + \frac{(F_i - \lambda_{i,i})^2}{4z^6 (D_i)^2}.$$

First of all let us treat the denominator more carefully

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{D_i} &\sim \frac{4\pi}{\lambda_{i+N, i+N} \ln z^2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{z\lambda_{i+N, i+N}} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{k'_n}{z^n \ln z^2} \right)^{-1} \\ &\sim \frac{4\pi}{\lambda_{i+N, i+N} \ln z^2} \left(1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(z\lambda_{i+N, i+N})^n} + \sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} \frac{k''_n}{z^n (\ln z^2)^{m+1}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

with some coefficients k'_n, k''_n , whose explicit expressions are not important now.

Then we see that in $\frac{-3F_i}{4z^5 D_i}$ the terms containing only powers of z (without logarithm) are

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{-3}{4z^5} \cdot \frac{4\pi}{\lambda_{i+N,i+N} \ln z^2} \left(1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(z\lambda_{i+N,i+N})^n} \right) \cdot \frac{-\ln z^2}{4\pi} \\ &= \frac{3}{4} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{z^{n+5} \lambda_{i+N,i+N}^{n+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Performing the same reasoning we find that

$$\frac{1}{D_i^2} \sim \frac{(4\pi)^2}{(\lambda_{i+N,i+N} \ln z^2)^2} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{n+1}{z^n \lambda_{i+N,i+N}^n} + \sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} \frac{k_n''}{z^n (\ln z^2)^{m+1}} \right).$$

The only term which cancels this logarithm in $(F_i - \lambda_{i,i})^2$ is $\frac{(\ln z^2)^2}{(4\pi)^2}$. And the contribution to the "pure" polynomial part in z is

$$\frac{1}{4z^6} \cdot \frac{(4\pi)^2}{(\lambda_{i+N,i+N} \ln z^2)^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{n+1}{z^n \lambda_{i+N,i+N}^n} \cdot \frac{(\ln z^2)^2}{(4\pi)^2} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{n+1}{z^{n+6} \lambda_{i+N,i+N}^{n+2}}.$$

Finally, we find (not taking into account those which arise from the expansion of R_0)

$$\frac{3}{4} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{z^{n+5} \lambda_{i+N,i+N}^{n+1}} + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{n+1}{z^{n+6} \lambda_{i+N,i+N}^{n+2}} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{n+3}{z^{n+5} \lambda_{i+N,i+N}^{n+1}}.$$

Collecting the terms with the same power of z we prove the lemma. $\square$

The next result requires more complicated calculations of the same nature, so we just state the main steps of its proof.

Lemma 19. *Fix some integer $n \geq 4$ and consider the coefficient c_n which appears in Theorem 15. The second term of the expansion of c_n has the following form:*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\pi(n-4)(n-2)|\lambda_{i,i+N}|^2}{\lambda_{i+N,i+N}^{n-3} \ln z^2}, \quad n = 2k+1, k > 1; \\ & \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\pi(n-4)(n-2)|\lambda_{i,i+N}|^2}{\lambda_{i+N,i+N}^{n-3} \ln z^2} + \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{a_{li}(l+1)!}{\ln z^2}, \quad n = 2l+4, l \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The idea is the same as before: one can obtain the terms of this form only from specific terms in the expansion. Due to the special structure of the denominator we have terms of type $\frac{f(z)}{\ln z^2}$ and terms $\frac{g(z) \ln z^2}{\ln^2 z^2}$ and the fact

that in the numerator only the terms with F_i contain a logarithm, allows us to restrict our calculation to some specific terms.

We need as before the expansion of $\frac{1}{D_i}$ but up to the second power of $\ln z^2$ and the expansion of $\frac{1}{D_i^2}$ up to the third power. To simplify the expressions we denote the following quantity by W_i

$$W_i = -2\gamma - 4\pi \left(\lambda_{i,i} - \frac{|\lambda_{i,i+N}|^2}{\lambda_{i+N,i+N}} \right) + \frac{2\gamma + 4\pi\lambda_{i,i}}{z\lambda_{i+N,i+N}} \\ + \left(1 - \frac{1}{z\lambda_{i+N,i+N}} \right) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(n-1)!a_{ni}}{z^{2n}}$$

and state that up to the second power of $\ln z^2$

$$\frac{1}{D_i} \sim \frac{4\pi}{\lambda_{i+N,i+N} \ln z^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{z\lambda_{i+N,i+N}} \right)^n \\ + \frac{4\pi W_i}{\lambda_{i+N,i+N} \ln^2 z^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \left(\frac{1}{z\lambda_{i+N,i+N}} \right)^{n-1}$$

and up to the third power

$$\frac{1}{D_i^2} \sim \frac{16\pi^2}{\lambda_{i+N,i+N}^2 \ln^2 z^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{n}{(z\lambda_{i+N,i+N})^{n-1}} \\ + \frac{4\pi^2 W_i}{\lambda_{i+N,i+N}^2 \ln^3 z^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{n(n-1)}{(z\lambda_{i+N,i+N})^{n-2}}.$$

Then the terms we are looking for appear in

$$\frac{1}{D_i} \left(\frac{-F_i''(\frac{1}{z} - \lambda_{i+N,i+N})}{4z^2} - \frac{3(F_i - \lambda_{i,i})}{4z^5} + \frac{F_i'(\frac{1}{z} - \lambda_{i+N,i+N})}{4z^3} \right),$$

and in

$$\frac{1}{D_i^2} \left(\frac{(F_i - \lambda_{i,i})^2}{4z^6} \right).$$

Expanding and summing these expressions we find that the terms containing the first power of logarithm in the denominator can be arranged in two sums as in the statement of the Lemma. $\square$

In the same way, but using much more complicated calculations, we can get the following result whose proof we omit (see [16] for details).

Lemma 20. *In Theorem 15 the terms with $\ln^2 z^2$ in the denominator of the c_n are (we do not separate the different powers of z in order to not complicate the expression):*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\ln^2 z^2} \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{1}{z^4} - \frac{2\gamma}{z^4} - \frac{4\pi\lambda_{2i}}{z^4} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(2n+1)(n-1)!a_{ni}}{z^{2n+4}} + (2\pi\lambda_{2i} \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \gamma) \frac{2\pi\lambda_{3i}^2}{z^4\lambda_{1i}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n(n+2)}{(z\lambda_{1i})^n} - \gamma \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2(n+1)n!a_{ni}}{z^{2n+4}} \right. \\ & \quad + \frac{2\pi^2\lambda_{3i}^4}{\lambda_{1i}^2 z^4} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n(n^2-1)}{(z\lambda_{1i})^{n-1}} - 4\pi\lambda_{2i} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(n+1)n!a_{ni}}{z^{2n+4}} + \frac{4\pi\lambda_{3i}^2}{z^4\lambda_{1i}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{n+1}{(z\lambda_{1i})^n} \\ & \quad + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(n-1)!a_{ni}}{z^{2n}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(k+1)k!a_{ki}}{z^{2k+4}} + \frac{\pi\lambda_{3i}^2}{z^4\lambda_{1i}} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(n-1)!a_{ni}}{z^{2n}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k(k+2)}{(z\lambda_{1i})^k} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2(2n+1)n!a_{ni}}{z^{2n}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(z\lambda_{1i})^k} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2n!a_{ni}}{z^{2n}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1+2k}{(z\lambda_{1i})^k} \right) \Bigg), \end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda_{1i} = \lambda_{i+N,i+N}$, $\lambda_{2i} = \lambda_{i,i}$ and $\lambda_{3i} = |\lambda_{i,i+N}|$.

4.2 Inverse spectral data

Being restricted to the class of the boundary conditions introduced in the beginning of the section, we consider now the inverse spectral problem, i.e. the question “Which information about the initial system can one obtain using the expansion of the second power of the resolvent?”. As we will see, it is possible to find some geometric characteristics of the system and some information about the operator on it.

Theorem 21. *Consider the expansion of the trace of the square of the resolvent as in the Theorem 15. The knowledge of $\text{Tr}R^2$ determines:*

- *whether this manifold is hybrid or smooth;*
- *the sum of the volumes of all manifolds taking part in the construction;*
- *the sum of the Euler characteristics of all manifolds;*
- *the number of segments used in this hybrid manifold;*
- *the sum of the lengths of these segments;*
- *the Euler characteristic of the hybrid manifold.*

Proof. The presence of $\ln z^2$ -type terms is a criteria of singularity. If in the expansion of $\text{Tr}R^2$ there are no such terms, this means that the considered manifold is a “normal” manifold without any singular points. Indeed, the

log-terms appear only from the singularities of the Green function (and not its derivatives) at the points of gluing.

The coefficient of z^{-2} is equal to $\sum \text{vol } M_i / (4\pi)$ and provides us with the sum of the volumes of all manifolds.

The coefficient of z^{-3} is equal to $\sum l_j / 4$ and provides us with the sum of the lengths of all segments.

Considering the term of type $1/(z^4 \ln z^2)$ we find the number $N/2$ of all segments.

The coefficient of z^{-4} is equal to

$$\frac{\sum \chi(M_i)}{6} + \frac{N}{4}$$

and gives as the sum of the Euler characteristics of all manifolds since we already know the number N .

Finally, using a Mayer-Vietoris type argument, see [16] for details, it is not difficult to show that the Euler characteristic of the hybrid manifold is $\sum \chi(M_i) - N$, which is easy to find now. $\square$

The results obtained in this work do not let us find the volume of each manifold and the length of each segment separately. But it should be possible to find these quantities with the use of scattering theory [14].

The resolvent expansion provides us also with some information about how we glue the segments to the manifold in the hybrid space. Namely, we can obtain some information about the matrix of boundary conditions which defines the Laplace operator on the hybrid space.

Theorem 22. *Consider the z -pseudoasymptotic expansion of the trace of the square of the resolvent expansion of type 15. If we assume that we know the heat kernel coefficients for all manifolds composing the hybrid manifold, and that the coefficients $\lambda_{i+N, i+N}$ are mutually distinct and nonzero, we can find the diagonal elements of the matrix of boundary conditions A and the absolute values of its non-diagonal elements up to permutation.*

Proof. As it was shown in Lemma 18 the first terms in asymptotic expansion of c_n are of the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\sum_{M_i} \chi(M_i)}{6} + \frac{N}{4}, \quad n = 4; \\ & \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{2k-1}{4\lambda_{i+N, i+N}^{2k+1}}, \quad n = 2k+1, \quad k > 1; \\ & \sum_{M_i} \frac{a_{ki} \Gamma(k+1)}{4\pi} + \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{2k}{4\lambda_{i+N, i+N}^{2k-2}}, \quad n = 2k+2, \quad k > 1. \end{aligned}$$

Consider $x_i = \frac{1}{\lambda_{i+N, i+N}}$, $i = 1, \dots, N$. Taking the first N coefficients of powers of z starting from z^5 and denoting them by d_{n+4} , $n = 1, \dots, N$ we obtain N equations which have the following structure

$$d_{n+4} = \sum_i x_i^n$$

To find the solution of such a system we find the following symmetric polynomials in terms of c_n using a well-known recursive procedure:

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= x_1 + \dots + x_N, \\ S_2 &= x_1x_2 + x_1x_3 + \dots + x_2x_3 + \dots, \\ S_3 &= x_1x_2x_3 + x_1x_2x_4 + \dots + x_2x_3x_4 + \dots, \\ &\dots \\ S_N &= x_1x_2 \dots x_N. \end{aligned}$$

Due to the Viète Theorem we state now that $x_1, \dots, x_N$ are the roots of the equation

$$x^N - S_1x^{N-1} + S_2x^{N-2} + \dots + S_N = 0$$

and can be found up to permutation because we assume that they are mutually distinct.

If we substitute the obtained values of $\lambda_{i+N, i+N}$ in the expression obtained in Lemma 19 we obtain a system of linear equations for $|\lambda_{i, i+N}|$ and can find them.

As soon as we have found these elements, the result of Lemma 20 gives us a system of linear equations for $\lambda_{i, i}$ and we can also find them. The Theorem is proved. $\square$

References

- [1] F. Ali Mehmeti, J. Von Below, S. Nicaise (Eds): *Partial differential equations on multistructures* (Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 219, CRC Press, 2001)
- [2] I. G. Avramidi: *Green functions of higher-order differential operators*. J. Math. Phys. **39** (1998) 2889–2909.
- [3] J. von Below: *Can one hear the shape of a network?* In [1] 19–36.
- [4] J. Brüning: *The resolvent expansion on singular spaces*. In the book M. Lesch, J.B. Gil, D. Grieser (Eds.): *Advances in Partial Differential Equations. Approaches to Singular Analysis* (Birkhäuser, Boston, 2001) 208–233.
- [5] J. Brüning, V. A. Geyler: *Scattering on compact manifolds with infinitely thin horns*. J. Math. Phys. **44** (2003) 371–405.
- [6] J. Brüning, V. Geyler, K. Pankrashkin: *Spectra of self-adjoint extensions and applications to solvable Schrödinger operators*. Rev. Math. Phys. **20** (2008) 1–70.

- [7] J. Cheeger: *Spectral geometry of singular Riemannian spaces*. J. Differential Geom. **18** (1984) 575–657.
- [8] E. B. Davies: *Explicit constants for gaussian upper bounds on heat kernels*. Am. J. Math. **109** (1987) 319–333.
- [9] P. Exner, J.P. Keating, P. Kuchment, T. Sunada, A. Teplyaev (Eds.): *Analysis on graphs and applications* (Proc. Symp. Pure Math., vol. 77, Providence, RI, AMS, 2008).
- [10] P. Exner, O. Post: *Convergence of spectra of graph-like thin manifolds*. J. Geom. Phys. **54** (2005) 77–115.
- [11] B. Gutkin, U. Smilansky: *Can one hear the shape of a graph?* J. Phys. A **34** (2001) 6061–6068.
- [12] L. Hillairet: *Formule de trace semi-classique sur une variété de dimension 3 avec un potentiel Dirac*. Comm. PDE **27** (2002) 1751–1791 (2002).
- [13] P. Kurasov: *Graph Laplacians and topology*. Ark. Math. **46** (2008) 95–111.
- [14] P. Kurasov, M. Nowaczyk: *Inverse spectral problem for quantum graphs*. J. Phys. A **38** (2005) 4901–4915.
- [15] A. D. Polyanin, A. D. Manzhirov: *Handbook of integral equations*. CRC Press, Boca Raton etc., 1998.
- [16] S. Roganova: *Direct and inverse spectral problems for hybrid manifolds*. PhD thesis, Humboldt-University of Berlin, 2007. Available online at <http://edoc.hu-berlin.de/docviews/abstract.php?id=28330>.
- [17] J.-P. Roth: *Le spectre du Laplacien sur un graphe*. In the book *Théorie du potentiel* (Lecture Notes. Math., vol. 1096, Springer, 1984) 521–539.
- [18] R. T. Seeley: *Complex powers of an elliptic operator*. In *Singular Integrals, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Chicago, Ill., 1966*, 288–307 (Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1967).
- [19] A. Tolchennikov: *Kernel and trace formula for the exponential of the Laplace-Beltrami operator on a decorated graph*. Russian J. Math. Phys. **15** (2008) 128–139.